RICHARD E. GARDINER

ATTORNEY AT LAW

SUITE 403

3925 CHAIN BRIDGE ROAD

FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA 22030

TELEPHONE (703) 352-7276

FAX (703) 359-0938

May 12, 2011

REGARDINER@COX.NET

Mark J. Langer Clerk United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit 333 Constitution Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Re: Submission under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 28(j) in Heller v. District of Columbia, No. 10-7036 (argued on Nov. 15, 2010, before Judges Ginsburg, Henderson, and Kavanaugh)

Dear Mr. Langer:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 28(j), Appellants Dick Anthony Heller et al. hereby respond to the submission of Appellees concerning $United\ States\ v.\ Booker,\ Nos.\ 09-1810\ \&\ 09-2302,\ 2011\ WL\ 1631947\ (1st\ Cir.\ May\ 2,\ 2011).$

In Booker:

the appellants argue that strict scrutiny is required, because \$ 922(g)(9) infringes upon the "core" constitutional right recognized in Heller to "possess firearms in the home," FN17 whereas the government urges that we adopt intermediate scrutiny (while asserting that the law would survive more stringent review).

2011 WL 1631947 *11.

In footnote 17, the court stated:

While we do not attempt to discern the "core" Second Amendment right vindicated in *Heller*, we note that *Heller* stated that the Second Amendment "elevates above all other interests the right of law-abiding, responsible citizens to use arms in defense of hearth and home." 554 U.S. at 635. We would question whether appellants, who manifestly are not

"law-abiding, responsible citizens," fall within this zone of interest.

Id.

Further, the court stated:

Section 922(g)(9) finds its animating interest in keeping guns away from people who have been proven to engage in violence with those with whom they share a domestically intimate or familial relationship, or who live with them or the like.

Id.

Thus, the First Circuit did not reject strict scrutiny with respect to law-abiding, responsible citizens who wished to use arms in defense of hearth and home.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Richard E. Gardiner 3925 Chain Bridge Road Suite 403 Fairfax, VA 22030 (703) 352-7276 regardiner@cox.net

Counsel for Appellants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 12, 2011, electronic copies of this letter were served through the court's CM/ECF system and paper copies were mailed by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to:

Todd S. Kim Solicitor General Office of the Attorney General 441 4th Street, NW, Suite 600S Washington, D.C. 20001

William J. Olson 370 Maple Avenue West Suite 4 Vienna, VA 22180-5615

Chrisellen Kolb
Deputy Chief, Appellate Division
USAO/DC
USAO/Appellate Division
555 Fourth Street, N.W. Room 8104
Washington, D.C. 20530

Matthew Shors O'Melveny & Meyers LLP 1625 Eye Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20006-4001

Paul R.Q. Wolfson Wilmer Culter Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006

/s/ Richard E. Gardiner
RICHARD E. GARDINER